

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/674,589	Applicant(s) HUANG, CHEN CHIN J.	
	Examiner Jeffrey L. Gellner	Art Unit 3643	

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey L. Gellner.

(2) Juneko Jackson.

Status of Application: amendment received

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 February 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 none

Claims discussed:
 1, 7, 22


Prior art documents discussed:
 none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested that the additions of the language of claims 7 and 22, particularly claim 22 would possibly allow the application to pass to issue. Applicant suggested the addition of the language of claim 22. Upon reconsideration of the prior art Examiner withdrew his suggestion in a subsequent voice message.